



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ROCK HILL DIVISION

NATHANIEL EADDY, JR., §  
Plaintiff, §  
§  
vs. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 0:10-1165-HFF-PJG  
§  
THOMAS McFADDEN, NEIL TANNER, §  
and FLORENCE MORNING NEWS, §  
Defendants. §

---

ORDER

---

This case was filed as a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge, suggesting that the Court dismiss the Complaint without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on July 13, 2010, but Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report.\* In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court that the case is **DISMISSED** *without prejudice* and without issuance and service of process.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed this 6th day of August, 2010, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd  
HENRY F. FLOYD  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*\*

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within 30 days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

---

\*The Court notes that Plaintiff is likely unaware of the Magistrate Judge's Report as it appears that mail from the Court to Plaintiff has been returned as undeliverable. In light of present posture of the case, however, and the fact that Plaintiff has failed to keep the Court apprised of his current mailing address, the Court will dismiss the action.